



UNITED STATES
CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION
4330 EAST-WEST HIGHWAY
BETHESDA, MD 20814

Memorandum

Date: May 24, 2010

TO: Robert Howell
Assistant Executive Director
Office of Hazard Identification and Reduction

THROUGH: Russell Roegner, Ph. D.
Associate Executive Director
Directorate for Epidemiology

Kathleen Stralka
Director
Division of Hazard Analysis

FROM: Kevin Gipson
Mathematical Statistician
Division of Hazard Analysis

SUBJECT: 1999 – 2009 Reported Circulation/Suction Entrapments Associated with Pools,
Spas, and Whirlpool Tubs, 2010 Memorandum¹

This memorandum characterizes information on circulation entrapment incidents associated with pools, spas², and whirlpool tubs that were reported to U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) staff. A circulation entrapment is defined as an entrapment involving the water circulation system of a product. A multidisciplinary team of CPSC staff collaboratively developed this definition and the types of products of interest in regard to circulation entrapments. The circulation entrapment associated products that were determined to be of interest include pools, spas, and whirlpool tubs.

From 1999 – 2009³, CPSC staff is aware of 94 reports of circulation entrapments including 12 fatalities, 79 injuries, and 3 no injury incidents related to pools, spas, and whirlpool tubs. Fifty percent of the reported incidents were associated with pools while spas and whirlpool tubs accounted for 33 and 17 percent of the reports, respectively. Of the 84 reports that mention location, 42 percent of the incidents occurred at a public location while 58 percent were at a residence. For the 91 reports of death or injury, 75 percent of the victims were under the age of fifteen with victims aged five to nine years being the largest victim age category (38 percent).

¹ This analysis was prepared by the CPSC staff, has not been reviewed or approved by, and may not necessarily reflect the views of, the Commission.

² The term spa is used to refer to spas and hot tubs.

³ Italics is used to denote periods for which reporting is ongoing (2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009).

The hazard scenario could be classified for 71 incidents with a reported fatality or injury. In many of these incidents, the victim was trapped by suction (42 percent). This was followed by issues involving broken, missing, removed or disengaged outlet covers (41 percent). The remaining incidents were categorized as “caught on outlet cover” (17 percent).

Circulation Entrapment

There were 94 reports concerning circulation entrapments for the time period 1999 – 2009. Table 1 gives the yearly frequency of reports based on incident severity (fatality, injury, and no injury).

Table 1
Reports to CPSC Staff Concerning Circulation Entrapments
Associated with Pools, Spas, and Whirlpool Tubs by Year of Incident, 1999 – 2009⁴

Year	Fatality	Injury	No injury ⁵	Yearly Total
<i>2009</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>2008</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>2007</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>2006</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>9</i>
2005	0	10	0	10
2004	1	3	0	4
2003	1	5	0	6
2002	1	13	0	14
2001	0	6	0	6
2000	3	10	1	14
1999	2	7	0	9
Total	12	79	3	94

Source: CPSC databases including NEISS (National Electronic Injury Surveillance System), IPII (Injury and Potential Injury Incidents), DTHS (Deaths) and INDP (In Depth Investigations). Italics denote period for which reporting is incomplete.

Table 2 provides a cross tabulation of frequency of reports by circulation entrapment severity and product type. The product category for spas also includes whirlpool tubs which were separated out due to their closer association to bathtubs.

⁴ Reporting is ongoing for 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009.

⁵ No injury category was refined to remove reports where there was no actual entrapment incident. This resulted in two incidents (one from 2002 and the other from 2007) being removed from the counts.

Table 2
 Reports to CPSC Staff Concerning Circulation Entrapments
 Associated with Pools, Spas, and Whirlpool Tubs by Product Type, 1999 – 2009

Product Type	Deaths	Injuries	No Injury	Total
Pool	9	37	1	47
Spa	3	27	1	31
Whirlpool Tub	0	15	1	16

Source: CPSC databases including NEISS (National Electronic Injury Surveillance System), IPII (Injury and Potential Injury Incidents), DTHS (Deaths) and INDP (In Depth Investigations).

Table 3 tabulates the frequency of reports by location and circulation entrapment severity.

Table 3
 Reports to CPSC Staff Concerning Circulation Entrapments
 Associated with Pools, Spas, and Whirlpool Tubs by Location, 1999 – 2009

Location	Deaths	Injuries	No Injury	Total
Public	5	28	2	35
Residential	7	41	1	49
Unknown	0	10	0	10

Source: CPSC databases including NEISS (National Electronic Injury Surveillance System), IPII (Injury and Potential Injury Incidents), DTHS (Deaths) and INDP (In Depth Investigations).

Table 4 records circulation entrapment frequencies for reported fatalities, injuries, and no injuries by gender.

Table 4
 Reports to CPSC Staff Concerning Circulation Entrapments
 Associated with Pools, Spas, and Whirlpool Tubs by Gender, 1999 – 2009

Gender	Fatality	Injury	No Injury	Total
Male	5	34	1	40
Female	7	45	2	54

Source: CPSC databases including NEISS (National Electronic Injury Surveillance System), IPII (Injury and Potential Injury Incidents), DTHS (Deaths) and INDP (In Depth Investigations).

Table 5 gives the frequency of reports of victim age for circulation entrapment fatalities and injuries. Individuals in the five to nine year old category have the highest frequency of circulation entrapment reports. This is followed by the ten to fourteen year old and less than five year old categories. Together these three age categories account for 68 of the 91 reports concerning circulation entrapment.


Table 5
 Fatalities & Injuries Reported to CPSC Staff Concerning Circulation Entrapments
 Associated with Pools, Spas, and Whirlpool Tubs by Victim Age Category, 1999 – 2009

Age Category (years)	Fatality	Injury	Total Fatality & Injury
< 5	0	12	12
5 - 9	6	29	35
10 - 14	4	17	21
15 - 19	1	4	5
20 - 24	0	3	3
25 - 29	0	2	2
30 - 34	0	3	3
35 - 39	0	4	4
40 - 44	0	1	1
45 - 49	1	2	3
50 - 54	0	0	0
55 - 69	0	2	2
≥ 70	0	0	0

Source: CPSC databases including NEISS (National Electronic Injury Surveillance System), IPII (Injury and Potential Injury Incidents), DTHS (Deaths) and INDP (In Depth Investigations).

Figure 1 presents counts of reported fatalities and injuries by victim age categories for circulation entrapments associated with pool, spas, and whirlpool tubs.

Figure 1
 Fatalities & Injuries Reported to CPSC Staff
 for Circulation Entrapments by Victim Age Category, 1999 – 2009



Source: CPSC databases including NEISS (National Electronic Injury Surveillance System), IPII (Injury and Potential Injury Incidents), DTHS (Deaths) and INDP (In Depth Investigations).

Using definitions developed by the Association of Pool and Spa Professionals (APSP), there are five types of circulation entrapment: body, limb, evisceration/disembowelment, hair, and mechanical⁶. Limb entrapment happens when a limb is sucked or inserted into an open sump. If a limb only was involved in the entrapment then the incident was coded as limb entrapment (i.e., arms, hands, legs, or feet). Evisceration/disembowelment concerns suction applied directly to the intestines such as when a child sits on an open sump. Hair entrapment occurs when hair becomes caught in an outlet cover. Incidents involving hair only were coded as hair entrapments. Mechanical entrapment stems from articles of clothing, jewelry, or appendages being caught in an outlet cover. Appendages refer to digits (i.e., fingers or toes). Incidents involving these items only were coded as mechanical entrapments. Finally, body

⁶ ANSI/APSP-7 2006, *American National Standard for Suction Entrapment Avoidance in Swimming Pools, Wading Pools, Spas, Hot Tubs, and Catch Basins*, p. viii.

entrapment occurs when suction is applied to a large portion of the body or limbs. For our purposes, incidents were coded as a body entrapment if the entrapment involved a portion of the body not covered by the other types of entrapment. Examples of body entrapment are suction to the abdomen, back, hip, or shoulder and arm. Table 6 summarizes circulation entrapment incidents based on entrapment type. The majority of the reports identified limb and body entrapment. This is followed by hair and mechanical entrapment. For incidents where the exact nature of the circulation entrapment was ambiguous, the incident was categorized as “unclear.”

Table 6
Reports to CPSC Staff
for Circulation Entrapments by Entrapment Type, 1999 – 2009

Circulation Entrapment Type	Fatality	Injury	No Injury	Total
Body	3	30	0	33
Limb	4	26	2	32
Evisceration/Disembowelment	1	1	0	2
Hair	3	9	1	13
Mechanical	1	12	0	13
Unclear	0	1	0	1

Source: CPSC databases including NEISS (National Electronic Injury Surveillance System), IPII (Injury and Potential Injury Incidents), DTHS (Deaths) and INDP (In Depth Investigations).

Hazard scenarios for the reported incidents were categorized by reviewing the report narratives and coding the incident based on the following hierarchical categories. If the report indicates that an outlet cover was broken, missing or disengaged/removed, then the incident was assigned to the respective category. If the report states that the individual was caught on the outlet cover but there is no further description of the status of the cover, the incident was characterized as “caught on outlet cover.” If the report indicates that the suction was holding the individual down but there is no further mention of the outlet, then the incident was classified as “trapped by suction.” Incidents in which neither the outlet/outlet cover nor suction were indicated were categorized as “unknown.” Table 7 enumerates the results of the hazard scenario categorizations for circulation entrapments related to pools, spas, and whirlpool tubs.

Table 7
Reports to CPSC Staff
for Circulation Entrapments by Hazard Scenario, 1999 – 2009

Hazard Scenario	Fatality	Injury	No Injury	Total
Broken Outlet Cover	3	1	0	4
Outlet Cover Missing	4	16	0	20
Outlet Cover Removed/Disengaged	0	5	1	6
Caught On Outlet Cover	2	10	1	13
Trapped By Suction	3	27	1	31
Unknown	0	20	0	20

Source: CPSC databases including NEISS (National Electronic Injury Surveillance System), IPII (Injury and Potential Injury Incidents), DTHS (Deaths) and INDP (In Depth Investigations).

Appendix

Methodology for Extracting Reported Circulation Entrapments Associated with Pools, Spas, and Whirlpool Tubs

Data were extracted on March 11, 2010 from the National Electronic Injury Surveillance System (NEISS), Injury and Potential Injury Incidents (IPII), Deaths (DTHS), and In Depth Investigations (INDP) databases for the product codes enumerated in Table 8 for the time period 1999 – 2009 and merged with data from last year’s memorandum to update the data for the 1999 – 2009 time frame.

Table 8 – Product Codes Used in Extracting Circulation Entrapment Data

Product Code	Description
3221	Above-ground swimming pools
3251	Built-in swimming pools
3262	Swimming pool equipment
5043	Portable swimming pools
1246	Wading pools
1284	Swimming pools, not specified
3274	Swimming (activity)
698	Hot tubs or home spas
611	Bathtubs or showers

Within these product codes, suction/entrapment incidents were identified using the following keyword search terms: "SUCTION", "SUCK", "STUCK", "TRAP", "CAUGHT", "HELD", "TANGLE", "UNDER", "WEDGE", "JAMM", "DRAIN", "PUMP", "FILTER", "PIPE", "INTAKE", "GRATE", "COVER", "HAIR", "LIMB", "ARM", "HAND", "FINGER", "THUMB", "LEG", "FOOT", "FEET", "TOE", "BRUISE", "DROWN", or "SUBMER". Also, all NEISS cases were reviewed that had a diagnosis of submersion (69). NEISS data is from a probability based sample. Sampling weights are used to project the cases from NEISS hospitals to national estimates provided the sample counts are large enough. Due to the small number of suction/entrapment cases in NEISS, these cases were used in the case count and not projected nationally.

Reports were reviewed to eliminate cases that did not involve circulation entrapments. It should be noted that, for a given year, incidents are included on an ongoing basis for IPII and DTHS. In particular, additional reports are generally received for the most recent years. Information from these cases was extracted into an Excel spreadsheet and sorted by incident state and date. Source documents were checked to eliminate duplicate incident reports. As fatal incidents are notable events in the community where they occur, there were often multiple news reports (IPII), a medical examiner’s report (IPII), a death certificate (DTHS), an in-depth investigation (INDP) and, less frequently, a hospital emergency department report (NEISS) for a single incident. IPII is a mixture of various types of information including newspaper clippings, consumer complaints, and reports from other government agencies such as medical examiners/coroners. Information is voluntarily submitted to IPII, so staff cannot be sure that information on all the deaths has been received. Once the incident set was established, the

incidents were examined to code the additional characteristics of circulation entrapment type and hazard scenario.